## ERRATA

Volume 79, Number 1 (1989), in the article "Direct Calorimetric Determination of Energetics of Oxygen in $\mathrm{YBa}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{x}$," by M. E. Parks, A. Navrotsky, K. Mocala, E. Takayama-Muromachi, A. Jacobson, and P. K. Davies, pages 53-62:

In this publication we presented direct calorimetric data for the oxidation of $\mathrm{YBa}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{x}$. The original calorimetric data (Enthalpy, observed, J/g) in Table II are correct but we thank S. Julsrud of the Norwegian Institute of Technology for pointing out an error in the last column ( $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mole}$ ) of Table II. That column and Fig. 2 represent the enthalpy of Eq. (4) calculated by a thermochemical cycle. Although the cycle is described correctly in the text (Eqs. (1) to (4)), the enthalpy of Eq. (3) was given the wrong sign in the actual computation of $\Delta H_{4}$. The correct values for $\Delta H_{4}$ are shown in the revised versions of Table II and Fig. 2. As before, a linear relation between $\Delta H_{4}$ and $x$ is seen, with no significant difference between the three sets of samples and no discontinuity and no change in slope either at $x=$ 6.5 or at the orthorhombic-tetragonal transition. Thus, the discussion in our paper needs no modification but the corrected value of the partial molar enthalpy of oxygen (Eq. (6)) is $-190 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mole}$, with an estimated uncertainty, as before, of $\pm 5$ $\mathrm{kJ} /$ mole. This is in fact in better agreement than our previously reported value of $-237 \pm 5 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mole}$ with values of -200 to $-221 \mathrm{~kJ} /$ mole estimated from the

REVISED TABLE II
Calorimetric Data

|  | Enthalpy <br> (Eq. (4)) $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mole}$ | Composition | Enthalpy <br> (Eq. (4)) kJ/mole |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 5.97 (AJ) | $-40.8 \pm 3.9$ | 6.42 (AJ) | $4.8 \pm 4.8$ |
| 6.01 (ETM) | $-34.5 \pm 4.6$ | 6.43 (ETM) | $10.0 \pm 2.8$ |
| 6.02 (PD) | $-30.4 \pm 1.1$ | 6.49 (ETM) | $16.6 \pm 2.9$ |
| 6.04 (AJ) | $-37.3 \pm 3.0$ | 6.60 (PD) | $12.2 \pm 1.3$ |
| 6.10 (ETM) | $-24.5 \pm 3.1$ | 6.62 (ETM) | $24.4 \pm 2.0$ |
| 6.11 (AJ) | $-26.2 \pm 1.7$ | 6.62 (ETM) | $23.6 \pm 4.5$ |
| 6.20 (AJ) | $-20.6 \pm 4.0$ | 6.64 (AJ) | $19.6 \pm 3.9$ |
| 6.20 (ETM) | $-10.0 \pm 2.3$ | 6.79 (AJ) | $32.2 \pm 2.6$ |
| 6.22 (ETM) | $-13.9 \pm 3.3$ | 6.82 (ETM) | $44.6 \pm 1.0$ |
| 6.25 (ETM) | $-12.9 \pm 4.5$ | 6.88 (ETM)* | $51.5 \pm 2.6$ |
| 6.30 (ETM) | $-4.6 \pm 2.9$ | 6.89 (AJ) | $54.2 \pm 3.0$ |
| 6.31 (PD) | $-5.8 \pm 2.0$ | 6.93 (ETM) | $54.7 \pm 2.4$ |
| 6.35 (AJ) | $-3.9 \pm 3.6$ | 6.94 (AJ) | $50.7 \pm 1.7$ |
| 6.36 (ETM) | $0.0 \pm 2.4$ |  |  |

[^0]

Revised Fig. 2. Enthalpy of oxidation or reduction (Eq. (4)), kJ/mole. Open triangles, P. K. Davies samples; open squares, A. Jacobson samples; filled circles, E. Takayama-Muromachi samples.
temperature dependence of oxygen content and of $-190 \pm 20 \mathrm{~kJ} /$ mole obtained by acid calorimetry.

Volume 80, Number 1 (1989), in the article "Syntheses and Characterization of Two Novel Inclusion Compounds: $\mathrm{AlAsO}_{4} \cdot 0.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NOH} \cdot 0.3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and GaAsO 4 $\cdot 0.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NOH} \cdot 0.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$,'' by J. Chen and R. Xu, pages $149-151$ : The formulae $\mathrm{AlPO}_{4}^{n-}, \mathrm{GaPO}_{4}^{n^{-}}, \mathrm{AlAsO}_{4}^{1^{-}}, \mathrm{AlAsO}_{4}^{2-}$, and $\mathrm{GaAsO}_{4}^{1^{-}}$should read $\mathrm{AlPO}_{4}^{-n}, \mathrm{GaPO}_{4}^{-n}$, $\mathrm{AlAsO}_{4}^{-1}, \mathrm{AlAsO}_{4}^{-2}$, and $\mathrm{GaAsO}_{4}^{-1}$, respectively.


[^0]:    ${ }^{*} \Delta H_{\text {obs }}=436.3 \pm 3.9 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{g}$, correcting typographical error in enthalpy, observed, $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{g}$.

